

Outline

- Power Spectrum Estimation: Introduction
- Deterministic Signals: Computation of the Energy Density Spectrum
- Random Signals: Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum
- Non-parametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods
 - Bartlett Method
 - Welch Method
 - Blackman and Tuckey

Power Spectrum Estimation: Introduction

- The goal is to estimate the power density spectrum of a signal given a finite length observation of it
- When the signal is stationary the longer the data the better the estimate is
- When the signal is non-stationary longer data do not guarantee better estimates
- Power spectrum estimation methods can be categorized into two groups
 - Non-parametric estimation: no prior model is assumed, the power density spectrum samples are estimated directly
 - Parametric estimation: prior knowledge is used to model the power density spectrum using a few parameters, these parameters are estimated that yield a final estimate of power density spectrum

Computation of the Energy Density Spectrum

- Remember the definitions of autocorrelation for a deterministic signal

$$R_x(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_a^*(t)x_a(t + \tau)dt$$

and the energy density spectrum which is the Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function

$$S_x(F) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_x(\tau)e^{-j2\pi F\tau}d\tau$$

- Let us consider calculating these from the samples of $x(t)$
- When there is no aliasing there is a one-to-one relation between the samples of a signal and the signal itself

Computation of the Energy Density Spectrum (Cont.)

- For a discrete signal

$$R_x[k] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^*[n]x[n+k]$$

and

$$S_x(f) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_x[k]e^{-j2\pi kf}$$

- $S_x(f)$ can also be directly calculated as

$$S_x(f) = |X(f)|^2 = \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]e^{-j2\pi fn} \right|^2$$

Computation of the Energy Density Spectrum (Cont.)

- In practice we will have only finite number of samples
- This limitation can mathematically be represented by multiplying the original function with a window function
- Assuming we have N samples, the signal that we will be using is

$$\tilde{x}[n] = x[n]w[n] = \begin{cases} x[n], & 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Using this truncated signal we have

$$\tilde{X}(f) = X(f) * W(f) = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} X(\alpha)W(f - \alpha)d\alpha$$

- This windowing results in erroneous frequency components, called the leakage, where the actual signal frequency content is zero
- As in filter design, we can use different windows to reduce leakage in the price of a drawback such as increasing the width of the main lobe

Computation of the Energy Density Spectrum (Cont.)

- Using DFT we can calculate the samples of the spectrum

$$S_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} \left[\frac{k}{N} \right] = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{x}[n] e^{-j2\pi kn/N} \right|^2$$

- We have distortions due to windowing

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals

- Remember definitions for random signals

$$R_x(\tau) = \mathbb{E}[x^*(t)x(t + \tau)]$$

and

$$S_x(F) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_x(\tau)e^{-j2\pi F\tau} d\tau$$

- Problem: We do not know true autocorrelation, but need to estimate it from a single realization (what we observe)

$$\hat{R}_x(\tau) = \frac{1}{2T_0} \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} x^*(t)x(t + \tau)dt \quad (*)$$

- Assuming ergodicity, we have

$$\lim_{T_0 \rightarrow \infty} \hat{R}_x(\tau) = R_x(\tau)$$

- Therefore we will be using Eq. (*) as the estimate of the autocorrelation function

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- We obtain an estimate of $S_x(F)$ using the time average of the autocorrelation function

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(F) &= \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} R_x(\tau) e^{-j2\pi F\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2T_0} \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} \left[\int_{-T_0}^{T_0} x^*(t) x(t + \tau) e^{-j2\pi F\tau} dt \right] d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2T_0} \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} x^*(t) \left[\int_{-T_0}^{T_0} x(t + \tau) dt \right] e^{-j2\pi F\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2T_0} \left| \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} x(t) e^{-j2\pi Ft} dt \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- Now let us turn back to discrete case
- We have the estimate of the autocorrelation function

$$\hat{R}_x[m] = \frac{1}{N - M} \sum_{n=0}^{N-m-1} x^*[n]x[n + m], \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$$

- Let us have a look at the mean of this estimate

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\hat{R}_x[m] \right] = \frac{1}{N - M} \sum_{n=0}^{N-m-1} \mathbf{E} [x^*[n]x[n + m]] = R_x[m]$$

- Unbiased estimate
- The variance can be shown to limit to zero for large sample size

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- Although the variance is zero for large N , it is high for large values of m given a finite M
- To obtain an estimate with smaller variance, we sacrifice unbiasedness
- Consider the estimate

$$R_x[m] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-m-1} x^*[n][n+m] \quad (*)$$

- This estimate is clearly biased but can be shown to have smaller variance and is preferable
- Bias which is equal to $|m|R_x[m]/N$ vanishes and variance approaches zero as N gets larger

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- Using Eq. (*) as the estimate of autocorrelation, we obtain the periodogram

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(f) &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^{N-1} \hat{R}_x[m] e^{-j2\pi f m} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j2\pi f n} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{N} |X(f)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- Let us have a look at the mean of $P_x(f)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[P_x(f)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m=-N+1}^{N-1} \hat{R}_x[m] e^{-j2\pi f m} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{R}_x[m] \right] e^{-j2\pi f m} \\ &= \sum_{m=-N+1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|m|}{N} \right) R_x[m] e^{-j2\pi f m} \end{aligned}$$

- That is the mean of the estimated spectrum is the FT of windowed version of the autocorrelation function

$$\mathbb{E}[P_x(f)] = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} R_x(\alpha) W(f - \alpha) d\alpha$$

- The bias vanishes for large N , but not the variance
- We lose an important property with this straightforward estimator. Hence we need more complicated methods

Estimation of Autocorrelation and Power Spectrum of Random Signals (Cont.)

- Similar to the deterministic case, we can obtain the samples of the estimate of the density (periodogram) using DFT

$$P_x\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j2\pi nk/N} \right|^2 \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$$

- In practice, these samples do not provide a good representation, hence we need to sample more densely. This can be performed by zero padding the signal first, upto L samples

$$P_x\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j2\pi nk/L} \right|^2 \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$$

Nonparametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods

- We consider three nonparametric methods (no assumption on data, no modeling)
 - The Bartlett Method: Averaging Periodograms
 - The Welch Method: Averaging Modified Periodograms
 - The Blackman and Tuckey Method: Smoothing Periodograms

Nonparametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods: The Bartlett Method

- Reduce variance by averaging the periodogram of the parts of the original signal
- Group data of length N into smaller segments of length M

$$x_i[n] = x(n + iM) \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1 \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$$

- For each of these subgroups we have

$$P_x^{(i)}(f) = \frac{1}{M} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x_i[n] e^{-j2\pi f n} \right|^2, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1$$

- Averaging yields an estimate of the periodogram

$$P_x^B(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} P_x^{(i)}(f)$$

Bartlett Method: Properties

- Let us have a look at the mean

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} \left[P_x^{\text{B}}(f) \right] &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \mathbf{E} \left[P_x^{(i)}(f) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[P_x^{(i)}(f) \right]\end{aligned}$$

- The mean of the subgroups is

$$\mathbf{E} \left[P_x^{(i)}(f) \right] = \sum_{-M+1}^{M-1} \left(1 - \frac{|m|}{M} \right) R_x[m] e^{-j2\pi f m} = S_x(f) * w(f)$$

- That is the expected value is equal to the convolved version of the original density spectrum as before
- However, now the convolving window is more narrow (M samples instead of N)
- That is we lose frequency resolution by a factor of K
- Advantage: decreased variance, variance is reduced by a factor of K

Nonparametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods: The Welch Method

- Reduce variance by averaging the periodograms as in Bartlett method
- Now, the groups are allowed to have overlapping samples, and the averaging is done using some modified version of the periodogram
- Let us group the data into overlapping subgroups

$$x_i[n] = x(n + iD) \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1 \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$$

- Now let us also modify the segments with a window function to obtain

$$\tilde{P}^{(i)}(f) = \frac{1}{MU} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x_i[n] w[n] e^{-j2\pi f n} \right|^2$$

where $U = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} w^2[n]$ is a normalization factor

Nonparametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods: The Welch Method (Cont.)

- The Welch method then results in the following estimate

$$P_x^W(f) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \tilde{P}_x^{(i)}(f)$$

Welch Method: Properties

- Let us have a look at the expected value of the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [P_x^W(f)] &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E} [\tilde{P}_x^{(i)}(f)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\tilde{P}_x^{(i)}(f)]\end{aligned}$$

- The expected value for the segments is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [\tilde{P}_x^{(i)}(f)] &= \frac{1}{MU} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w[n]w[m] \mathbb{E} [x_i[n]x_i^*[m]] e^{-j2\pi f(n-m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{MU} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w[n]w[m] R_x(n-m) e^{-j2\pi f(n-m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{MU} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w[n]w[m] \\ &\quad \times \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} S_x(\alpha) e^{-j2\pi(n-m)(f-\alpha)} d\alpha \\ &= S_x(f) * W(f)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$W(f) = \frac{1}{MU} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} w[n] e^{-j2\pi f n} \right|^2$$

Welch Method: Properties

- The variance has a more flexible expression (since overlapping is allowed) than the Bartlett method, allowing for better tradeoff between frequency resolution and variance

Nonparametric Power Spectrum Estimation Methods: The Blackman and Tuckey Method

- The estimated autocorrelation function is windowed first before the Fourier transform yielding the estimate for the spectrum
- The windowing helps to give less weight (or eliminate) the large lag samples. These large lag samples produce poor results since less samples are used in the estimation
- Therefore, our estimate is

$$P_x^{\text{BT}}(f) = \sum_{m=-M+1}^{M-1} R_x[m]w[m]e^{-j2\pi fm}$$

- Since we now have a window function we can write

$$P_x^{\text{BT}}(f) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} R_x[m]w[m]e^{-j2\pi fm}$$

- Then

$$P_x^{\text{BT}}(f) = P_x(f) * W(f)$$

Blackman and Tuckey Method: Properties

- The expected value of the estimate is

$$\mathbb{E}[P_x^{\text{BT}}(f)] = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} \mathbb{E}[P_x(\alpha)]W(f - \alpha)d\alpha$$

- Substituting

$$\mathbb{E}[P_x(\alpha)] = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} [S_x(\theta)]W_B(\alpha - \theta)d\theta$$

we obtain the mean as

$$\mathbb{E}[P_x^{\text{BT}}(f)] = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} S_x(\theta)W_B(\alpha - \theta)W(f - \alpha)d\alpha d\theta$$

- Under certain assumptions the variance of BT spectrum estimate is approximately

$$S_x^2(f) \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=-M+1}^{M-1} w^2[m] \right]$$